Synthesis and Characterization of Novel Poly(arylenevinylene) Derivative

Song Se-Yong,¹ Kim Kang-Su,¹ Lee Young-Bin,¹ Kwak Jin-Sung,¹ Eun-Kyung Kim,² Cho Yong-Kuk,¹ Soon-Ki Kwon,³ Qinghua Zhao,³ Won-Ik Jung,⁴ Jang-Joo Kim,⁴ Yun-Hi Kim²

¹Kyeong-Nam Science High School, Jinsung, Korea

²Department of Chemistry and RINS, Gyeongsang National University, Chinju 660-701, Korea

³School of Nano and Advaned Materials and Engineering Research Institute, Gyeongsang National University, *Chinju 660-701, Korea* ⁴*Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Seoul National University, Korea*

Received 7 August 2007; accepted 31 January 2008 DOI 10.1002/app.28824 Published online 7 August 2008 in Wiley InterScience (www.interscience.wiley.com).

ABSTRACT: The new poly(arylenevinylene) derivative composed naphthalene phenylene vinylene backbone was developed. The theoretical calculation showed that the model compound of the obtained polymer was highly distorted between the stryl and naphthalene units as well as between the backbone and fluorene side units. The polymer was synthesized by the palladium catalyzed Suzuki coupling reaction with 2,6-(1',2'-ethylborate)-1,5-dihexyloxynaphtalene and 1,2-bis(4'-bromophenyl)-1-(9",9"-dihexyl-3fluorenyl)ethene. The structure of the polymer was confirmed by ¹H NMR, IR, and elemental analysis. The weightaverage molecular weight of the polymer is 29,800 with the

INTRODUCTION

Conjugated polymers as promising materials for optoelectronic applications such as transistors and organic light emitting diodes including flexible displays have been attracted.^{1–14} In the field of polymer-based electroluminescent devices, much interest has been paid to the main-chain conducting polymers such as poly(phenylenevinylene) (PPV), poly(*p*-phenylene) (PPP), poly(thiophene), poly(fluorene), their copolymers and soluble derivatives because of the potential application as large band gaps, especially poly(fluorene) (PFs), have acquired much interest because they emit blue light and also enable full color via energy transfer to longer wavelength emitters.15-24

One of the major problems in obtaining blue EL from PFs is the change of the emission spectra that becomes more serious upon exposure to heat, resulting in the declining color stability of the light emission from LEDs fabricated with PFs. These changes of emission spectra are attributable to the interchain interactions.^{25–27}

polydispersity index of 1.87. The new polymer showed good thermal stability with high T_g of 195°C. The bright blue fluorescence ($\lambda_{max} = 475$ nm) was observed both in solution and film of new polymer with naphthalene phenylene vinylene backbone. Double layer LED devices with the configuration of ITO/PEDOT/polymer/LiF/Ca/Al showed a turn-on voltage at around 4.5 V, the maximum luminance of 150 cd/m², and the maximum efficiency of 0.1 cd/A. \bigcirc 2008 Wiley Periodicals, Inc. J Appl Polym Sci 110: 2009-2015, 2008

Key words: poly(arylenevinylene); naphthalene; LED; blue fluorescence

Recently, we reported the poly(biphenylenevinylene) derivatives and poly(terphenylenevinylene) derivatives for pure blue OLED.²⁸⁻³⁶ In connection with the recent report, we designed and synthesized the new poly(arylenevinylene) derivative which is composed naphthalene phenylene vinylene for blue light emitting. The high efficient blue emission can be expected by the introduction of rigid fused aromatic naphthalene unit having extended π -system when compared with phenylene.^{37,38}

On the basis of arylenevinylene backbone, the large fluorene substituents were introduced into the each vinyl bridge. The introduced bulky fluorene pendants can lead to enhance the solubility of the resulting polymer, shorten the effective conjugation length that results in pure blue emission, and inhibited the formation of excimers that results in efficient pure blue emission. It is also expected that the high efficient blue emission is due to the intramolecular energy transfer from fluorene pendant to main chain.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General

¹H NMR spectra data were expressed in ppm relative to the internal standard and were obtained on a DRX 500 MHz NMR spectrometer. FTIR spectra

Correspondence to: Y.-H. Kim (ykim@gsnu.ac.kr) and S.-K. Kwon (skwon@gsnu.ac.kr).

Contract grant sponsor: KOSEF; contract grant number: R and E-2006.

Journal of Applied Polymer Science, Vol. 110, 2009-2015 (2008) © 2008 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

were obtained with a Bomem Michelson series FTIR spectrometer, and the UV-vis absorption spectra obtained in chloroform on a Shimadzu UV 3100 spectrometer. Molecular weight and polydispersity of the polymer were determined by gel permeation chromatography (GPC) analysis with polystyrene standard calibration (Waters high pressure GPC assembly model M590 pump µ-styragel columns of 105, 104, 103, 500, and 100 Å, refractive index detectors, solvent THF). Elemental analysis was performed by Leco Co. CHNS-932. TGA measurements were performed on a Perkin-Elmer series 7 analysis system under N_2 at a heating rate $10^{\circ}C/$ min. The photoluminescence spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer LS-50 fluoremeter utilizing a lock-in power meter (Newport 818-SL). Cyclic voltammetry was carried out in a two-compartment cell with a model with platinum electrodes at a scan rate of 10 mV/s against an Ag/Ag+ (0.1M AgNO₃ in acetonitrile) reference electrode in an anhydrous and nitrogen-saturated solution of 0.1M Bu₄NBF₄ in acetonitrile. For the measurements of device characteristics, current-voltage (I-V) changes were measured using a current/voltage source (Keithley 238) and an optical power meter (Newport 818-SL). Thickness of films was determined with Alpha step IQ.

Fabrication of the LED

Poly(styrenesulfonate)-doped poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) (PEDOT) for a conducting polymer hole-injection layer was coated on an indium-tin oxide coated glass substrate which had been washed with water, acetone, and isopropyl alcohol sequentially. A thin polymer film was spin-coated (3000 rpm, 50 s) from a filtered (0.2 m filter) 1.0 wt % of polymer solution in chlorobenzene on a PEDOT layer. A thin layer of LiF (0.5 nm) and subsequently a 10 nm layer of Ca and a 150 nm layer of Al were evaporated subsequently on the top of the emissive layer under a high vacuum (below 1 \times 10^{-5} Torr). The active area of the device was 4 mm². All fabrication steps were performed in clean room conditions. Measurements were done at room temperature in air.

Materials

All reagent and solvents were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co. and Fluka. Only analytical grade quality chemicals were used. PEDOT was purchased from Bayer. Spectroscopic grade CHCl₃ (Aldrich) was used for all absorption and emission experiments. All other compounds were used as received.

SYNTHESIS

Synthesis of the 2,6-dibromo-1,5-dihydroxy naphthalene ($C_{10}H_6Br_2O_2$)

The 1,5-dihydroxy naphtalene (20 g, 0.125 mol) and catalytic amount of iodine were added in the acetic acid solvent (550 mL). After the mixture was heated to 80°C, bromine (40 g, 0.25 mol) was slowly added. After the mixture was stirred for 30 min, the flask was cooled to room temperature. The crude product was filtered and washed with the petroleum ether. Yield: 57%. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) [ppm] δ 7.75 (d, 2H), 7.64 (d, 2H), 6.0 (s, 2H) FTIR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 2950 (aliphatic C–H str), 3050 (aromatic C–H str), 1180 (aromatic C–Br str).

Synthesis of the 2,6-dibromo-1,5-dihexyloxy naphthalene ($C_{22}H_{30}Br_2O_2$)

The 2,6-dibromo-1,5-dihydroxynaphtalene (5 g, 15.7 mmol), 1-bromohexane (10.38 g, 63 mmol), KOH and NaI (0.94 g, 63 mmol) were mixed in ethanol (200 mL) and refluxed for 48 h. After reflux, the flask was cooled to the room temperature, and the ethanol was removed and extracted with ether. Then the mixture was washed with 10% NaOH aqueous solution (2.2 g, 40 mmol) to remove the HBr from the residue, and washed again by the water to remove the salt. The mixture was stirred with MgSO₄ for drying, and filtered. The crude product was purified by column chromatography by hexane as eluent. Yield: 25%, ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) [ppm] δ 7.75 (m, 2H), 7.64 (m, 2H), 4.09 (m, 4H) FTIR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 2950 (aliphatic C–H str), 3050 (aromatic C-H str), 1180(aromatic C-Br str) M+: 486, Anal. Calcd for C₂₂H₃₀Br₂O₂: C, 54.32%; H, 6.17%. Found: C, 54.27%; H, 6.11%.

Synthesis of the 2,6-(1',2'-ethylborate)-1,5dihexyloxynaphtalene

2,6-Dibromo-1,5-dihexyloxy naphthalene (1.5g, 3.1 mmol)) was dissolved in THF solvent, and then the mixture was stirred at -78° C. *n*-BuLi (2.052 g, 0.032 mol) was dropped, and stirred at -78° C for 1 h. In the mixture, 2-isopropoxy-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (1.377 g, 7.4 mmol) was added and stirred them at room temperature for overnight. After the reaction, the mixture was worked up with water to prevent from HCl. Then the obtained crude product was purified by column chromatography using hexane as eluent. Yield: 35%, ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) [ppm] δ 7.94 (m, 2H), 7.76 (m, 2H), 4.08(m, 4H), 1.41(s, 19H), FTIR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3100 (aromatic C—H str), 2900 (aliphatic C—H str).

Synthesis of 4-bromophenyl-9",9"-dihexyl-3fluorene ketone

In a mixture of 9,9-dihexylfluorene (I) (5 g, 22.8 mmol), CS₂ (70 mL), and AlCl₃ (3.95 g, 29.64 mmol) equipped with an ice bath, the 4-bromobenzoyl chloride was added. After 2 h stirring, the mixture was worked up with 2N HCl aqueous solution, and then the mixture was extracted with diethyl ether. The extract was washed with 2N KOH aqueous solution and then was washed several times with water. The washed ether solution was dried over MgSO4 and filtered. The solvent was evaporated, and the crude product was recrystallized in hexane. Yield: 69%; mp: 84°C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD_2Cl_2) [ppm] δ 7.85-7.83 (m, 4H), 7.74-7.69 (m, 2H), 7.45-7.42 (m, 3H), 2.07-2.04 (t, 4H), 1.17-1.14 (m, 4H), 1.11-1.07 (m, 8H), 0.82-0.81 (t, 6H), 0.68-0.65 (m,4H) FTIR (KBr, cm^{-1}): 3047 (aromatic C–H str), 2846 (aliphatic C-H str), 1643 (C=O), 1064 (aromatic C-Br).

Synthesis of 1,2-bis(4'-bromophenyl)-1-(9",9"-dihexyl-3-fluorenyl)ethene

In a two-necked flask equipped with a reflux condenser, a mixture of (4-bromobenzyl)triphenylphosphonium bromide (7.42 g, 14.5 mmol), sodium hydride (1.16 g, 48 mmol), and toluene (70 mL) was refluxed for 8 h. After cooling, 4-bromophenyl-9",9"dihexyl-3-fluorene ketone (5 g, 9.66 mmol) was added and refluxed for 48 h. The mixture was worked up with ice water and then was extracted with ether. The extract was dried over MgSO4 and filtered. The solvent was removed by evaporation. The residue was dissolved in *n*-hexane and then purified by flash column chromatography by ethyl acetate: hexane (1 : 10) as eluent. After column, recrystallization from ethanol afforded pure product. Yield: 51%, mp: 87°C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) [ppm] δ 7.69–7.27 (m, 2H), 7.5 (m, 2H), 7.4–7.3 (m, 7H), 7.15-7.14 (m, 4H), 7.03-6.99 (m, 3H), 1.09-1.06 (m, 8H), 0.84-0.81 (m, 6H), 0.64-0.63 (m,4H) FTIR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3008 (aromatic C–H str), 2900 (aliphatic C-H str), 1064 (aromatic C-Br str) M+: 670, Anal. Calcd for C₃₉H₄₂Br₂: C, 69.85%; H, 6.27%. Found: C, 69.81%; H, 6.23%.

Synthesis of polymer

The polymer was prepared from palladium catalyzed Suzuki coupling reaction.

All handling of catalysts and polymerization was done in a nitrogen atmosphere. To a stirred solution of 2,6-(1',2'-ethylborate)-1,5-dihexyloxynaphtalene (0.15 g, 0.3 mmol) 1,2-bis(4'-bromophenyl)-1-(9",9"dihexyl-3-fluorenyl)ethene (0.173g, 0.25mmol) in 10 mL THF and 4 mL 2*M* K₂CO₃ solution in water was added catalysts, Pd(PPh₃)₄ (0.01 g, 0.008 mmol %). The reaction mixture was heated at 80°C under nitrogen atmosphere for 48 h. Bromobenzene (0.005 g, 0.0318 mmol) was added and then phenyl boronic acid (0.005 g, 0.041 mmol) was added with small amounts of catalysts for end-capping. After 2 h, the reaction mixture was poured into methanol (50 mL) and filtered with glass filter. The residue was dissolved in CHCl₃ and washed with water. After being dried over MgSO₄, precipitation was repeated twice with chloroform/methanol. Yield = 65%. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) [ppm] 7–8 (m, 19H), 3.64(m, 4H), 0.5–2 (m, 52H), FTIR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3100 (aromatic C–H str), 2950(aliphatic C–H str).

Anal. Calcd for $C_{61}H_{72}O_2$: C, 87.56%; H, 8.61%. Found: C, 87.12%; H, 8.37%.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The method for preparing this polymer is outlined in Scheme 1. The Friedel-Crafts acylation of 9,9dihexylfluorene was carried out with 4-bromobenzoyl chloride. The resulting 9',9'-dihexyl-3-fluorenyl-4"-bromomethyl ketone, which was removed after recrystallization in good yield, was converted to monomer 1 by the wittig reaction. The alkylation was followed by bromination of 1,5-dihydroxy naphthalene afforded to 2,6-dibromo-1,5-dihexyloxy naphthalene. The polymerization was carried out by the palladium catalyzed Suzuki coupling reaction with 2,6-(1',2'-ethylborate)-1,5-dihexyloxynaphtalene and 1,2-bis(4'-bromophenyl)-1-(9'',9''-dihexyl-3fluorenyl)ethene.

Theoretical calculations using PM3 parameterization in the HyperChem 5.0 program (Hypercube), to fully optimize the molecular structure, was carried out for the characterization of three-dimensional structures.³⁹ Figure 1 shows the stereostructure of the model compound derived from calculative analyses. From the result, it can be suggested that the obtained polymer is highly distorted between the stryl and naphthalene units as well as between the backbone and fluorene side units.

The structure of the obtained polymer was confirmed by ¹H NMR, IR, and elemental analysis. The Figure 2 shows the ¹H NMR and IR spectra of polymer. The polymer composition can be estimated by the integration area of the peaks from the ¹H NMR. The peak at 3.6 ppm is attributed to the protons connected to oxygen in the naphthalene unit. The peak at 2.0 ppm is attributed to the protons adjacent to the ninth position of fluorene. The obtained polymer was fully soluble in common organic solvents such as chloroform, chlorobenzene, toluene, and tetrahydrofuran. The molecular weight has been determined by gel permeation chromatography with THF as an eluent and monodisperse polystyrene as the



Scheme 1 Synthetic scheme of monomers and polymer.



Figure 1 Synthetic scheme of monomer and polymer. [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at www.interscience.wiley.com.]

standard. The weight average molecular weight of polymer is 29,800 and the polydispersity index is 1.87. The thermal stability of polymer under nitrogen atmosphere has been evaluated by thermogravimetric analysis, and the decomposition temperature is 439°C, as determined at a 5 wt % loss (Fig. 2). The polymer displays a glass transition temperature (T_g) at 195°C, which means high thermal stable amorphous nature of material, in the differential scanning calorimetry thermogram (Fig. 3).

Figure 4 shows the optical absorption and photoluminescence spectra of polymer in both solution and solid. The polymer in a chloroform solution exhibited the maximum absorption at 368 nm because of the $\Pi \rightarrow \Pi^*$ transition of the conjugated polymer backbone with a shoulder at 310 nm, which is a characteristic peak of fluorene unit. The absorption of thin film also shows its maximum at 375 nm with the absorption edge at 424 nm.

The maximum absorption peak of the polymer having naphthalene phenylene vinylene backbone is 60–70 nm blue shifted when compared with that of PPV, 20–30 nm red shifted as compared with that of PPP, and 5 nm red shifted as compared with biphenylenevinylene units. Under excitation of 365 nm, bright blue fluorescence was observed both in solution and film of new polymer with naphthalene phenylene vinylene backbone. Moreover, the PL spectrum of the film was almost consistent with that of dilute solution with the maximum emission peak of 475 nm, indicating that the intermolecular interaction is inhibited due to distorted backbone and steric



Figure 2 ¹H NMR and IR spectra of polymer.

hindrance by introduced bulky 9.9-dihexylfluorene substituents. Under the excitation of 310 nm, which is due to absorption of fluorene unit, the maximum emission was also obtained at 475 nm. From the result, it is expected that the obtained polymer will be high efficient blue emitting due to intramolecular energy transfer from side 9.9-dihexylfluorene to main chain. The relative fluorescence quantum efficiency of the obtained polymer was determined in a dilute chloroform solution ($1 \times 10^{-6} M$) using quinine sulfate ($1 \times 10^{-5} M$) dissolved in $0.1M H_2SO_4$ as a standard, and its value was $0.6.^{17}$ From the optical absorption threshold, the optical band gap is calculated to 2.92 eV.

The redox behavior of the polymer was investigated by cyclic voltammetry (CV) with a standard three-electrode electrochemical cell in a $0.10M \text{ Bu}_4\text{N}$ -ClO₄ solution in acetonitrile at room temperature under nitrogen with a scanning rate of 100 mV/s. It is important that adjusted injection and transport of the hole and electron. In the anodic scan, polymer shows irreversible *p*-doping and the onset of the *p*doping process occurs at about 1.03 V. From the optical absorption threshold and onset of the *p*-doping, the HOMO and LUMO level of polymer was 5.4 eV and 2.52 eV, respectively.

Double layer LED devices based on the obtained polymer with the configuration of ITO/PEDOT/ polymer/LiF/Ca/Al was fabricated. Poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) (PEDOT) doped with poly(styrenesulfonic acid) (PSS) was used as the injection/ transporting layer. The electroluminescence spectrum is displayed in Figure 5. The electroluminescence spectrum was similar to the PL spectrum of polymer except for slightly broad. It can be explained that both EL and PL originate from the same radiative decay process of the singlet exciton. The current-voltage-luminescence (I-V-L) and current efficiency characteristics of the polymer-based device is shown in Figure 6. With an increase in the forward voltage, both the current and luminescence increase synchronously. The voltage-current density characteristic of the device revealed that the turn-on voltage was 4.5 V. The maximum brightness was 150 cd/m^2 at 9.4 V. The maximum current efficiency of device was the 0.1 cd/A at 20 mA/cm². To achieve a higher EL performance, optimization of the device structure and/or the use of host with



Figure 3 TGA and DSC thermogram of polymer.



Figure 4 The optical absorption and photoluminescence spectra of polymer in both solution and solid.



Figure 5 EL spectrum of ITO/PEDOT/polymer/LiF/Ca/ Al structured device.

charge transport ability such as poly(9-vinylcarbazole) will be necessary.

CONCLUSIONS

We designed and synthesized the new poly(arylenevinylene) derivative which is composed naphthalene phenylene vinylene for blue light emitting. The theoretical calculation of model compound of obtained



Figure 6 Current-voltage-luminescence (I-V-L) and current efficiency characteristic of ITO/PEDOT/polymer/ LiF/Ca/Al structured device.

polymer showed highly distorted structure. The structure of the polymer which was obtained by palladium catalyzed Suzuki coupling reaction with 2,6-(1',2'-ethylborate)-1,5-dihexyloxynaphtalene and 1,2bis(4'-bromophenyl)-1-(9",9"-dihexyl-3-fluorenyl)ethane, was confirmed by ¹H NMR, IR, and elemental analysis. The new polymer with naphthalene phenylene vinylene backbone and fluorene pendant showed maximum emission at 475 nm both in solution and thin film, indicating that the intermolecular interaction is inhibited due to distorted backbone and steric hindrance by introduced bulky 9.9-dihexylfluorene substituents. Double layer LED devices with the configuration of ITO/ PEDOT/polymer/LiF/Ca/Al showed a turn-on voltage at around 4.5 V, the maximum luminance of 150 cd/m^2 and the maximum current efficiency of 0.1 cd/A.

The authors thank for the device fabrication of Dr. J. W. Kang and H. D. Park at Center of Organic Light Emitting Diode in Seoul National University.

References

- Bernius, M. T.; Inbasekaran, M.; O'Brien, J.; Wu, W. Adv Mater 2000, 12, 1737.
- Kim, D. Y.; Cho, H. N.; Kim, C. Y. Progr Polym Sci 2000, 25, 1089.
- 3. Akcelrud, L. Progr Polym Sci 2003, 28, 875.
- Inbasekaran, M.; Woo, E.; Wu, W.; Bernius, M.; Wujkowski, L. Synth Met 2000, 111, 397.
- 5. Kraft, A.; Grimsdale, A. C.; Holmes, A. B. Angew Chem Int Ed 1998, 37, 402.
- 6. Scherf, U.; List, E. J. W. Adv Mater 2002, 14, 477.
- 7. Neher, D. Macromol Rapid Commun 2001, 22, 1365.
- Kreyenschmidt, M.; Klaerner, G.; Fuhrer, T.; Ashenhurst, J.; Karg, S; Chen, W. D.; Lee, V. Y.; Scott, J. C.; Miller, R. D. Macromolecules 1998, 31, 1099.
- Sohn, B. H.; Kim, K.; Choi, D. S.; Kim, Y. K.; Jeoung, S. C.; Jin, J. I. Macromolecules 2002, 35, 2876.
- 10. Zheng, M.; Ding, L.; Lin, Z.; Karasz, F. E. Macromolecules 2002, 35, 9939.
- 11. Lopez, L. C.; Strohriegl, P.; Stübinger, T. Macromol Chem Phys 2002, 203, 1926.
- Hwang, D. H.; Lee, J. D.; Kang, J. M.; Lee, S.; Jin, S. H. J Mater Chem 2003, 13, 1540.

- He, Y.; Gong, S.; Hattori, R.; Kanicki, J. Appl Phys Lett 1999, 74, 2265.
- 14. Poganstch, A.; Wenzl, F. P.; List, E. J. W.; Leising, G.; Grimsdale, A. C.; Müllen, K. Adv Mater 2002, 14, 1061.
- 15. Ranger, M.; Leclerc, M. Can J Chem 1998, 76, 1571.
- Wu, F. I.; Dodda, R.; Reddy, D. S.; Shu, C. F. J Mater Chem 2002, 12, 2893.
- Kim, Y. H.; Kwon, S. K.; Yoo, D. S.; Rubner, M. F.; Wrighton, M. S. Chem Mater 1997, 9, 2699.
- Lee, K. S.; Kim, Y. H.; Lee, Y.; Jin, J.; Kwon, S. K. J Polym Sci 2005, 43, 2316.
- 19. Kim, Y. H.; Kwon, S. K. J Appl Polym Sci 2006, 100, 2151.
- Arias, A. C.; MacKenzie, J. D.; Stevenson, R.; Halls, J. J. M.; Inbasekaran, M.; Woo, E. P.; Richards, D.; Friend, R. H. Macromolecules 2001, 34, 6005.
- Deng, X. Y.; Tong, S. W.; Hung, L. S.; Mo, Y. Q.; Cao, Y. Appl Phys Lett 2003, 82, 3104.
- Müller, C. D.; Falcou, A.; Reckefuss, N.; Rojahn, M.; Wiederhirn, V.; Rudati, P.; Frohne, H.; Nuyken, O.; Becker, H.; Meerholz, K. Nature 2003, 421, 829.
- 23. Kim, Y. H.; Park, S. J.; Park, J. W.; Kim, J. H.; Kwon, S. K. Marcomol Res 2007, 15, 216.
- 24. Ego, C.; Grimsdale, A. C.; Uckert, F.; Yu, G.; Srdanov, G.; Müllen, K. Adv Mater 2002, 14, 809.
- Friend, R. H.; Gymer, R. W.; Holmes, A. B.; Burroughes, J. H.; Marks, R. N.; Taliani, C.; Bradley, D. D. C.; Dos Santos, D. A.; Brédas, J. L.; Lögdlund, M.; Salaneck, W. R. Nature 1999, 397, 121.
- 26. Beaupre, S.; Leclerc, M. Adv Funct Mater 2002, 12, 192.
- 27. Beaupre, S.; Leclerc, M. Macromolecules 2003, 36, 8986.
- An, B. K.; Kim, Y. H.; Shin, D. C.; Park, S. Y.; Yu, H. S.; Kwon, S. K. Macromolecules 2001, 34, 3993.
- Kim, Y. H.; Shin, D. C.; Kwon, S. K.; Lee, J. H. J Mater Chem 2002, 12, 1280.
- Kim, Y. H.; Ahn, J. H.; Shin, D. C.; Kim, H. S.; Kwon, S. K. Opt Mater 2002, 21, 175.
- Kim, Y. H.; Park, J. C.; Kang, H. J.; Park, J. W.; Kim, H. S.; Kim, J. H.; Kwon, S. K. Marcomol Res 2005, 13, 403.
- Kim, Y. H.; Ahn, J. H.; Shin, D. C.; Kwon, S. K. Polymer 2004, 45, 2525.
- Kim, Y. H.; Jung, S. U.; Lee, G. H.; Kwon, S. K. Marcomol Res 2006, 14, 81.
- Kim, Y. H.; Shin, D. C.; Kim, H. S.; Kwon, S. K. Polymer 2005, 46, 4647.
- Kim, Y. H.; Jung, S. Y.; Kwon, S. K. Mol Cryst Liq Cryst 2006, 444, 257.
- Kim, Y. H.; Jung, S. Y.; Jung, S. U.; Park, M. H.; Kwon, S. K. J Polym Sci 2006, 44, 4923.
- Behnisch, B.; Ruiz, P. M.; Schweikart, K. H.; Hanack, M. Eur J Org Chem 2000, 2000, 2541.
- Lee, N. H. S.; Chen, Z. K.; Huang, W.; Xu, Y. S.; Cao, Y. J Polym Sci 2004, 42, 1647.
- 39. Kim, Y. H.; Shin, D. C.; Kim, S. H.; Ko, H. S.; Yu, H. S.; Chae, Y. S.; Kwon, S. K. Adv Mater 2001, 13, 1690.